

## **P TUTBURY PARISH COUNCIL (TPC)**

A meeting of the Parish Council was held in Tutbury Village Hall, Monk Street, Tutbury, Monday 9<sup>th</sup> July 2018. Those present were Cllrs, K England (Vice Chair), T Spencer Smith, M Guest, W Crossley, F Crossley, L Beighton, M Powell (Chairperson),

County Councillor P White, County Highways Graham Hunt

7 Parishioners,

in attendance, Karen Duffill (Clerk)

### **06/18/ PM 1.0 APOLOGIES**

Parish Cllrs, P Steadman, Borough

Late arrival

C Smedley

Non Attendance

D Morris, G Raybould.

### **FEEDBACK FROM THE MEETING**

This was a draft and there are a few minor inaccuracies.

## **GENERAL**

One parishioner comment via email

There is no pay and display car park for the Tutbury/Hatton station. There are not 4 car parks in Tutbury. There is a mention of a privately-run car park, which I can only assume is opposite the Bank.

I am pleased to see a one way system has no mention. This would only increase traffic and not increase parking.

### **One councillor comment**

There are two car parks in Tutbury not four both are free parking and are under control of East Staffs Borough Council.

The emphasis in the report is biased towards trade visitors however, for Tutbury the higher priority for visitors to the village is more social, visiting the health centre and vets rather than shopping and the users should not be made to pay for parking for these services. County Council representatives responded that this was a standard introduction for this style of report and parking policies are accessible for the parish council to read. Parking should be healthy, safe and economically prosperous.

Page 5” How will it be funded?

All initial setup costs for the scheme; processing of all necessary traffic orders will be funded through the County Councillors Divisional Highways Programme budget or through contributions from the Parish Council.”

Cllr W Crossley requested that the parish council should be removed from this paragraph. And stated that Tutbury Parish council does not have an adopted Neighbourhood plan. He felt that the bus service is frequent in Tutbury. It was the bus has not been directed through Heritage park

Parish Council proposals made to the County are highlighted in green

| Street             | Proposal   | Feedback   | Priority |
|--------------------|--|--|----------|
| QUICK FIX          |  |  |          |
| <u>Monk Street</u> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To provide the cost implications and practical information on implementation, regarding permits for residents to override the waiting restrictions in place.</li> <li>To investigate if the restrictions could be changed to be Monday to Friday (not Saturday).</li> <li>If parking permits were not permitted assess the impact of removing the restrictions.</li> <li>To investigate if a one-way system would create extra parking spaces if a chicane effect was implemented with parking available on intermittent sides of the road. Ascertain the cost implications of this.</li> <li>To assess the speed, safety issues associated with driving on pavements and traffic flow of Monk Street and how it is currently used. To survey the flow of traffic through Monk Street and to investigate the impact of making Monk Street one way would have on the traffic flow and safety on Hight Street.</li> <li>To assess the weight limit and HGV; s travelling through the village and investigate the impact of implementing weight restrictions.</li> </ul> |  |          |
| Monk St            | Remove restrictions for 1 hour parking near Bridge St  | <p><b>Public participation {PP}</b> Residents asked if there could be any variation to the restrictions for residents. However, <b>Graham Hunt from County Highways</b> stated that the only way variations could be implemented was through a permit scheme. Residents were happy that the restrictions were removed and questioned how long this would take? <b>Graham Hunt</b> conformed that following the feedback on the draft proposal, the formal proposal would go to public consultation and it would take two to three months from when the engineers are available.</p> <p><b>Parish Council Feedback (PCF)</b> No objection and welcome the change.</p> | 1        |
|                    | Removal of 20 mtrs DY lines near castle st junction and increase DY lines past the disabled bays to allow parking on both sides of the road  | <p><b>Public participation {PP}</b> No Objection</p> <p><b>Parish Council Feedback (PCF)</b> No objection and welcome the change. The councillors were aware that this parking would create a chicane effect that would slow the traffic,</p>  | 2        |

|                                 |  |   |          |
|---------------------------------|--|---|----------|
|                                 |  | but questioned why the parking was not created on the Health centre side. Cllrs requested an increase in the disabled bays nearer the health centre.  |          |
| <b><u>Bridge Street</u></b>     | To assess the double yellow lines to try and create extra parking spaces in a safe manner.   |   |          |
| Bridge St                       | Removing the double yellow lines on Bridge Street between numbers 28 and 34.   | <p><b>Public participation (PP)</b> As a resident at the Little Bridge properties this would severely reduce visibility to the left on leaving the property. In my opinion this would also cause congestion due to the buses that travel down that road in both directions and they already have problems seeing people waiting at the bus stop.</p> <p><b>Parish Council Feedback (PCF)</b> No objection and welcome the change of more parking in this area, but agreed that the visibility should be looked at and questioned why the extra parking was not on the opposite of the road?</p> <p><b>Graham Hunt from County Highways</b> stated that this could be evaluated again.</p> | <b>3</b> |
| Lower High St/<br>Cornmill Lane | Remove DY lines and Increase 20 min bays   | <p><b>Public participation (PP)</b> No Objection</p> <p><b>Parish Council Feedback (PCF)</b> No objection and welcome the change of more parking in this area, and felt that the 20 mins restriction to match the bank bays would be appropriate.</p>   | <b>4</b> |
| Cornmill lane                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Investigate in respect of outside the Bank -vehicles abusing the 20 minutes waiting could signage line markings be improved to make enforcement visibility of restrictions easier – could the yellow lines be removed along from the Bank on Cornmill lane and 20-minute restrictions extended.</li> <li>Investigate a chicane effect on Cornmill lane to increase parking ability and improve safety on the bend outside the Old school building and access to side roads. Could extra parking be created in this area?</li> </ul> |   |          |

|               |  |  |   |
|---------------|--|--|---|
| Cornmill lane | Removal of DY lines outside terraced properties  | <p>Public participation {PP} No Objection</p> <p>Parish Council Feedback (PCF) No objection and welcome the change of more parking in this area</p>  | 5 |
| Wakefield Ave | Implement double yellow lines on the corner  | <p>Public participation {PP} No Objection</p> <p>Parish Council Feedback (PCF) No objection and welcome the change to allow the bus to get through this road.</p>  | 6 |
| Burton St     | <p>Review the yellow lines at the bottom part of Burton Street to see if they can be reduced to alleviate parking issues for residents here outside the forthcoming Indian restaurant.</p> <p>Investigate extending the yellow lines from Webb Corbett house to the top of Burton Street to the, or past the school or Ironwalls lane-</p> <p>The County Council approach the Cross Keys regarding usage of their car park by families for school drop off and collection to alleviate on road parking outside the school.</p> |  |   |
| Burton St     | Free up 30 mtrs of double lines limited or times bays  | <p>Public participation {PP} No Objection</p> <p>Parish Council Feedback (PCF) No objection and welcome the change of more parking in this area.</p> <p>However the council requested an investigation in the original proposal of extending restrictions up the road towards the school, as parishioners have raised concern over double parking at the bottom end of Burton Street causing danger for exiting the drive access for the three houses next to Webb Corbett House and also the buses struggle to get through when vans are double parked in this area causing a bottle neck at the narrower end of this road.</p> | 7 |

|           |  |   |   |
|-----------|--|---|---|
| High St   | Free up DY Lines outside the Dog and Partridge   | <p><b>Public participation {PP}</b> No Objection</p> <p><b>Parish Council Feedback (PCF)</b> Objection there is parking in the Dog and partridge car park for customers. In the evening cars do park on the pavement and on the double yellow lines. This causes problems for the buses to get through the High street with the added problem of long vehicles overhanging onto the road on the chevron parking area.</p> <p>It was thought that this would also have a negative impac on the aesthetics of the High Street in the conservation area, blocking the view of the medieval pub.</p>  | 0 |
| Castle St | Castle events Should have marshalling and a Park and Ride from Castle to remove issues from Park Lane and Castle St. |   |   |
| Castle St | Double yellow lines on the approach to the castle  | <p><b>Public participation (PP)</b>Objection there is no parking for number 24 Castle Street and this area is used for parking for people accessing the church and the allotments. This would not be recommended. There is a problem with parking outside Castle Hill House when the through way is blocked. However, this does not warrant double yellow lines.</p> <p><b>Parish Council Feedback (PCF)</b> Cllrs did not want the double yellow lines in this area. They have written to the users of Castle Hill House to stop parking on the through way outside the house on several occasions. as this blocks access for the church contractor with a long trailer exiting the church safely. It was thought that improved signage would be better in this area reiterating that there should be no parking on the through way but agreed with the residents that parking in this areas is used by visitors to the church and the allotments.</p> <p>The issues reported are related to event parking and blocking of Park Lane and Castle Street. However, parking around or on the village green is not ideal as this is a focal point for the conservation area.</p> <p><b>Graham Hunt from County Highways and Phillip White County Councillor</b> suggested that this has been included as it was an option and not all options have to be adopted. They suggested further discussion with the Castle, Church and Castle Hill House may help to resolve the problems in this area.</p> | 0 |

|                     |   |   |   |
|---------------------|---|---|---|
|                     |   |   |   |
| Medium to Long term |   |   |   |
| <b>High Street</b>  | <p>Investigate the cost and practical implications of residents having permits that override the parking restrictions.</p> <p>Investigate the use of long stay parking on Tutbury institute side in terms of where shop workers park, parking restricts and parking spaces available for passing trade and residents.</p> <p>Investigate implementing restrictions on the long stay side of the road if parking permits could be issued for residents.</p> <p>Investigate how a one-way system would improve parking and traffic flow on this street.</p> |   |   |
| High St             | Long stay parking to be permit holders in the future  | <p><b>Public participation {PP}</b> No Objection</p> <p><b>Parish Council Feedback (PCF)</b> Discussion about considering permits in the future resulted in a vote, two councillors wanted to keep the suggestion to consider permit parking in the future. However, five councillors did not believe that the parish council should consider this suggestion at this time.</p> | 0 |

### **Outstanding proposals**

The following proposals were sent to County Highways as part of the feasibility study and the Parish Ocuncil would like a response to these proposals

#### **Duke Street**

Investigate the impact of implementation of waiting restrictions of 2 hours for parking outside Michael drinks to allow passing trade to use the post office off licence and charity shop.

Assess safety issues of traffic flow in that area, if a one-way system was implemented through the village and the traffic travelling down Burton Street.

#### **Ludgate Street**

Investigate restricted parking for max 2 hours or unloading only between the Vine inn and New Inn on Ludgate Street.

**Silk Mill Lane /Holts Lane** – remove bollards from pavement opposite the old silk mill to ensure emergency vehicles can access at all times on Silk Mill Lane and Holts Lane.

### **Suggestions for further car parking areas to be created in Tutbury**

#### Councillor suggestion

The green space on Monk street behind the flats, the flats have allocated parking, and this may create an area for a dozen cars. This land was thought to be Trent and Dove.

#### Parishioner suggestion

On one of the maps there is a triangular area of ground, behind the Dog and Partridge, which belongs to the Tutbury Charities and is presently used as allotments-can this land not be utilised in some way for parking.

A member of Hatton Parish Council informed the council that included in the plans for the new pavilion at the Tutbury Cricket Club would be a car park for around 50 cars. The current parking provision at this location was not included in the report.

Meeting ended at 9.10